

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1936.
(*Four*)
PRESERVED

File No. 187-36

Serial Nos. 1-4

Letter References.

187-36 (4 a)
187-36 (5-8)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. *Four*

Part B for *July 1937*

SUBJECT.

*Notice of suit by Mr. M. R. Shankarappa
on behalf of Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao for the
recovery of Rs 481-1-0 alleged to be due from*

Serial
No.

From

Mr. K. R. Shankar

Pleader

Mysore

Letter no 382, dt 10-3-37

Issues notice under Sec 80 C.P.C.

threatening to file a suit for the

Recovery of about Rs 481-10 alleged to
payable to his client Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao.

1) Subd with a dft. for approval.

SB
18/3

2) *SB*
15-3-37

Issued no P. 5547, dt 16-3-37

From

The Rev. Commr

Letter no C 3990, dt 16th April 1937

Forwards a copy of Mysore D. C's letter &
Connected records.

3) It is contended in the note
that Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao, on whose behalf
the notice is issued, is the holder of
 $\frac{1}{3}$ share in Kitti Nos 8 and 15 in
Sarkamanga Madavanakadi Village, in Krish
and Kitti Nos $\frac{1}{6}$ share in Kitti No

File No.

of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Law

187

Serial
No.

of Tharadale Village, Nanjangud, and that Mr P. Nagaraja Rao is entitled to certain surplus sum after deducting the Govt dues.

4) The Rev: Commr who was requested to forward a report with connected records, has sent a copy of Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter (Flag Y) on the subject. It is seen therefrom that out of the two villages, namely, Maduvanakodi and Yaradale referred to in the notice, only the former is under Govt management, ^{and that} Mr P. Nagaraja Rao and his two uncles, ~~also reported~~ Messrs V. Madhava Rao and V. Krishnamurthy, are ~~reported to be~~ ^{the} joint owners of Kitti No 8 and $\frac{3}{8}$ of Kitti No 15 of Maduvanakodi inam Village. Mr V. Madh Rao, as the eldest male member of the family, was managing the family properties and was also a guardian to his nephew P. Nagaraja Rao who was a minor. ~~Mr V. Krishna Rao~~ The surplus amount due ^{to their share} is reported to have been paid to Mr V. Madhava Rao from whom an

Notice of Suit

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.
Law

187

of 1936

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

indemnity bond has been obtained (vide Flag B) binding himself to return the money due to the minor, P. Nagaraja Rao as soon as he claims it after attaining majority.

5) On 10th Aug: 1936 a petition was submitted by P. Nagaraja Rao (Flag C) requesting for his share amount. The D.C. Mysore, in his letter Flag D, requested the P. Pr. Mysore to furnish his opinion whether $\frac{2}{3}$ share may be paid to Mr. V. Madhava Rao and P. Nagaraja Rao be requested to establish his right for $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the amount in a civil court. The P. Pr. Mysore in his letter Flag U, has opined that an Interpleader Suit is unnecessary and that prima facie each is entitled to $\frac{1}{3}$ share.

6) From the endorsement issued by the D. C. Mysore; (Flag E) it is seen that action is being taken to pay the sum to the respective claimants.

Submitted for orders.

B
22/4

7) The Revenue Commissioner may be requested to ascertain and report whether any reply has been received

Notice of Suit

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

~~to~~ notice from Mr Nagarajadas, to
the notice issued to him on 31.3.37 by the
Deputy Commr Mysore. The amount
owed to Mr Nagarajadas as his $\frac{1}{3}$ share
may also be ascertained.

BMR
23.4.37

e) Subd. with a dft. for approval.

g) done
BMR
23.4

Order no. P. 6146, of 24-4-37

Sur A

24-4-37

From

The Rev. Comr.

Letter no. C 3990/36-37 of 8-5-37
wards copies of correspondence.

(10) Reference para 7 Supra.

M. P. Nagaraja Rao has submitted
a petition to the D.C. Mysore (vide
Flag Y) in reply to the Endorsement
issued to him on 31-3-37 wherein
he contends that the execution of a
indemnity bond by him is necessary
in so far as he has become entitled
to the $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the family property

Notice of Suit.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

by Virtue of the decree passed in O. S. No. 68/27-28 on the file of the Sub-ordinate judges, Dibras Mysore. It is seen that an endorsement has been issued by to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao stating that the indemnity bond is only a safeguard of the Govt against any adverse claimants and that he should execute the bond before payment is made.

As regards the amount of Rs 22-14-8 to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao as his $\frac{1}{3}$ share, it is reported that a sum of Rs 22-14-8 is due to his share which is kept in deposit in the office of the D. C. Mysore (Vide Pkg Y)

Subd. for orders with reference to paras 3 to 6 supra.

12/5

(1) This alleged in the notice is on behalf of Mr. Nagarajadas, that he holds some Vritts in Masavanakoti and Tharadale villages as per decree passed in O. S. 68/27-28. The copy is not forthcoming to the records. It is necessary to examine the decree copy to determine his title to the property. He may then be asked to send a decree copy for reference.

Notice of Suit

File No.
Law

(P)

of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

2) Subd. with a dft. for approval.

13) J. S. H.
16.5

Joined no. P. 6641, 27-5-37

From

Mr. N. R. Shankarappa


Letter no. 298, dated 29-5-37

Forward copy of the decree passed
in S. S. 687 27-28, and the copy
of Rajinama filed in Ex. 117/29-30.
on the file of the Sub Court, Mysore.

13) Reference para 11 Supra.

14) The decree copy is submitted for
perusal and orders.

15) Mr. Nagarajadas alleges
that he is entitled to share in certain Vrittis in
Madavanakodi and Maradale Villages, that the
said villages are under Government management
and that a surplus sum of Rs 442-10-8 is
due to his share from Madavanakodi village
and Rs 42-6-4 from Maradale Village, and
claims these amounts from Govt. The notice
issued on his behalf, does not, however, say
for what years the sum claimed by
him is due.



Sus A

27-5-37

Notice of Suit

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

16) The Deputy Commissioner's report (flagged Y) explains the position. Share Dale Village is not under Government management. The surplus amount of Madavanakodi village, which is under Govt management, has been paid to Nagarajadas's uncle Mashevadas, up till the year 35-36. He was only for ^{his share in} the surplus for the year 35-36 that Mr Nagarajadas first petitioned to the Deputy Commr (See flag C). His uncles Mashevadas and Krishna murthi have been opposing his claim. The Deputy Commissioner accordingly informed Mr Nagarajadas that he might take his $\frac{1}{3}$ share (viz Rs 22-14-8) on creating an indemnity bond. But Mr Nagarajadas replied that as his right to $\frac{1}{3}$ share is declared by Court, he is not liable to execute the indemnity bond (see flag Y (1)).

17) It is difficult to say that the disputes between Nagarajadas and his uncles are at an end, in spite of the Court proceedings. It looks to me that by virtue of the compromise dated 2.12.30 (flag D) the position has become somewhat altered and his uncles are basing their ^{present} contention on the very compromise. I think therefore the Deputy Commr was justified in demanding an indemnity bond from Mr Nagarajadas before his share in the surplus amount was paid to him.

of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

18) Mr. Nagarajadas may
simply be informed that the sale is not
Government Management, and that he
may receive his $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the surplus amount
of Madavanakosi village, from the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore, on executing an
indemnity bond.

GENERAL SECRETARY.

16 JULY 1937

3/0

19) Gen. Secy. / Yes, but
21/7/37


21-7-37

Subd. with a dpt for approval.

J. S. M.

22-7-37

to Mr. Shankarappa.
Endorsement LNO P. 476, of 22-7-37
(Copy to Rev. Commr.)



Noted

B
26-7-37

Lansdowne Bazar.

Mysore. 10-3-1937.

Law 187-36-1

12. 1937
The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Government Secretariat,
Bangalore.

Sir,
Under Instructions from my client Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao one of the Inamdar of Madavanakodi and Tharadale Villages in Krishnarajpet and Nanjangud Taluks respectively, I write to inform you as follows:-

1. That my client is the holder of 1/3 share in Vritti Nos. 8 and 15 in Sarvamanya Madavanakodi Village and Vritti Nos. 8 and 13 of 1/6 share in Vritti No. 9 of Tharadale Village as per decree passed in O.S. 68/27-28 on the file of the Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore. The said suit was a suit for partition filed by my client's mother on his behalf against his Uncles Messrs. V. Madhava Rao and M. V. Krishna Murthy, for his share in the joint family properties including the said villages. The said suit was registered as a pauper suit.

2. That the Government have been collecting in the said villages amounts under water rate and excise and pay the balance to the respective Vrittidars after deducting the Government dues and that my client is also one such Vrittidar entitled to the surplus amount.

3. That a surplus sum of Rs. 442-10-8 is due to my client from Madavanakodi and Rs. 42-6-4 from Tharadale Villages respectively.

4. I hereby call upon you ^{to} caused to pay up to my client the above said sums together with interest at 12% per annum from the date the amounts became payable to date of payment with Rs. 5/- the charges of this notice.

5. I, therefore, give you notice that after Two months from the date of receipt of this notice by you, my client will be constrained to file a suit against Government if in the meanwhile the matter is not settled to my client's satisfaction.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours most obediently,

N. P. Nagaraj Rao

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 16th March 1937

No.

PS547

Law 187-362
Recd 1.

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.


To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of notice under Section 80 C.P.C. issued by Mr. M.R. Shankarappa, Pleader on behalf of Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao threatening to file a suit for the recovery of Rs. 481-1-0 alleged to be payable to his client, and to request you to send a report with connected records early for orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



For General Secretary to Government.



SUBJECT.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue..... 16.3.37

Signed by Mr. BKR

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

Section Law
File No. 187-36
Serial No. 2

No. 15547/enc 1.
To Rev. Commr.
"The ~~D.C., Mysore~~

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of order Sec. 80 C.P.C. notice issued by Mr. M.R. Shankarappa, Pleader on behalf of Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao threatening to file a suit for the recovery of Rs 481-1-0 alleged to be payable to his client, and to request you to send a report with connected records early for orders of Govt.

I have etc.

BKR
for Genl. Secy.

No. C. 3990/36-37.

Copied

6 p 036
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 16th April 1937.

21 APR 1937

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Law 187-36-3

To
GENERAL SECRETARY
22 APR. 1937

The Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Subject:-- Notice under Section 80 C.P.C. issued on behalf
of Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao, for the recovery of a
sum of Rs. 481-1-0 alleged to be due to him.

With reference to your letter No.P.5547/Law. 187-36-2
dated 16th March 1937, on the above subject, I have the honour to
forward herewith a copy of the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's
letter No.F.2455/36-37 dated 2nd April 1937 furnishing a detailed
report in the matter.

2. The file of connected records accompanies.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

Revenue Commissioner.

[Signature]

Letter No. F.2455/36-37 dated 2nd April 1937, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner of Mysore.

Adverting to your No.C.3990/36-37 dated 23rd/25th, March 1937, calling for a report on the notice issued to Government by P. Nagaraja Rao, threatening to file a suit for the recovery of Rs.484-4-0 alleged to be payable to him, I have the honour to state as follows:--

2. Out of the two villages viz., Maduvanakodi inam villa¹ Krishna²rajapet Taluk and Varadele in Nanjangud Taluk referred to the notice, only the former is under Government management. P. Nagaraja Rao and his two uncles V. Madhava Rao and M.V.Krishna Murti are joint owners of Vrithi No.8 and 3/8 of Vrithi No.15 of this village. V. Madhava Rao as the eldest male member of the family was managing the family properties and was also a guardian to his nephew Nagaraja Rao who was a minor. Madhava Rao's brother M.V.Krishna Murti has also authorised Mr. Madhava Rao to take his share amount due. The surplus amount due to their share was therefore paid to V. Madhava Rao who has executed an indemnity bond, binding himself to return the money due to minor P. Nagaraja Rao if the latter claims for it after he attains majority.

3. On 10th August 1936 a petition from P. Nagaraja Rao was received requesting to pay him, his share amount for 1935-36 and not to his uncle V. Madhava Rao. On receipt of the above petition the surplus amount due to P. Nagaraja Rao is kept in deposit and it will be paid to him after he executes an indemnity bond. The copy of notice dated 27th March 1937 issued to them together with the vernacular records is enclosed herein for reference and return.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

Dated Mysore, the 31st March 1937.

No. 2455/36-37.

Endorsement.

With reference to his petition dated 26th February 1937 requesting to pay him the share of amount due to him and to his brother Mr. M.V. Krishnamurthi on Vriithi No.8 and 3/8 of Vriithi No.15 of Madavamantri village, Krishnarajapet Taluk, Mr. V. Madhava Rao is informed that the money due to him and his brother Mr. H.V. Krishnamurthi will be paid to him and the balance to Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao on his executing an indemnity

(Sd). T.G. Rama Iyer,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Memo.


Copy of the above is forwarded to Mr. M.V. Krishnamurthi and Mr. Nagaraj Rao, for information.

(Sd). C. Narasimha Murthy

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

" C o p y "



~~For~~ Revenue Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

I m m e d i a t e .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 24th April 1937.

No. P.6146

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir, Re - Notice under Section 80 C.P.C. issued on behalf
of Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao for the recovery of a
sum of Rs.481-1-0 alleged to be due to him.

With reference to your letter No.C.3990/36-37 dated
16th April 1937 on the above subject, I am directed to request
you to ascertain and report whether any reply has been received
from Mr. Nagaraja Rao to the endorsement issued to him on 31st
March 1937 by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, and also to
ascertain the amount offered to Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao as his 1/3rd
share.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

MR

For General Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT

Immediate

Section Law

File No. 187-36

Serial No.

No. P6146.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Rev. Commr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue..... 24.6.37

Signed by..... Mr. BKR

Re: Notice under Sec: 80 C.P.C.

issued on behalf Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao
for the recovery of a sum of
Rs. 481-1-0 alleged to be due to
him.

Sir,

With reference to
your letter no. C. 3990/ 26-27,
dated 16th ^{April} ~~March~~ '37 on the
above subject, I am directed
to request you to ascertain
and report whether any reply
has been received from ...

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Mr. Nagaraja Rao to the
to him on 31-3-37;
endorsement issued to him by
the Deputy Commr., Mysore,

Examined by.....

24/9

I also to ascertain the
amount offered to Mr P.

garaja Rao as his $\frac{1}{3}$ share
~~by also be kindly ascertain~~

~~the intimated at one only~~

for the matter may kindly
be treated as urgent.

I have etc.

BRAR

For Genl Secy
S

No. C.3990/36-37.
Copies

6390/36
P 836
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE. 10

Dated Bangalore, 24th May 1937

FROM

10 MAY 1937

K.V. Anantaraman. Esq. B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To M. Venkatesa Iyengar. Esq., M.A.,
General Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
11 MAY 1937

Notice under Section 80 C.P.C. issued on behalf of
Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao for the recovery of a sum of
Rs 481-1-0 alleged to be due to him.

SIR
[Signature]

With reference to your letter No. P.6146 dated 24th April 1937,
on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy
of the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. F.2455/36-37
dated 1st May 1937, together with copies of its enclosures furnishing
the further information required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
Revenue Commissioner.

[Signature]

Letter No.F.2455/36-37 dated 1-5-1937⁴¹ from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your No.C.3990/36-37 dated 27-4-1937, calling for certain further information regarding the notice issued on behalf of P.Nagaraja Rao, for the recovery of a sum of Rs 481-1-0 alleged to be due to him, I have the honour to forward herewith the copy of petition dated 2nd April 1937, received from P.Nagaraja Rao in the matter together with a copy of endorsement issued to him since. A sum of Rs 22-14-8 is due to his share ⁱⁿ and is/ deposit in this Office.

Copy of Petition dated 2nd April 1937, from P.Nagaraja Rao 830, ~~Sunb~~ Sunnadakere, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore.

I am in receipt of a copy of Endorsement No.2455/36-37 dated 31-3-1937 issued to Mr.V.Madhava Rao, sent to me for information that the amount will be paid to me only ~~on~~ ⁱⁿ my executing an indemnity bond.

In reply thereto, I beg to state that as per Decree in O.S.68/27-28 on the file of the Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore, I am entitled to 1/3rd share of the family properties including the Vrittis in question in Maduvankodi village and the copy of the rajinama filed along with my application confirms the decree.

Hence I am entitled in my own right for the amount due to my share in the said vrittis and as such I do not see any reason why I should execute an indemnity bond for receiving the amount that rightly belongs to me and in which no other has any manner of right whatsoever.

I therefore, request that the amount be paid to me as early as possible.

~~Mysore.~~

Copy of endorsement No.F.2455/36-37 dated 27-4-1937, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore, to P.Nagaraja Rao, 830, Sunnadakeri, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore.

With reference to his petition dated 2-4-1937, requesting to pay him the surplus revenue of Maduvankodi village due to him, P.Nagaraja Rao 830, Sunnadakeri, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore, is informed that the

• indemnity bond is only a ~~safe~~ safe-guard for the Government against any adverse claimants and he should execute the bond before payment made.

Copies.

W. N. Braunschweig.

For Revenue Commissioner.

SA

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

13

Dated, Bangalore, 27.5.37

No. p6641

From

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,
*General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

M.R. Shankarappa, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,
Pleader, Lansdowne Bazaar, Mysore City.

Sir,

Notice of suit on behalf of Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao.

With reference to your letter No. 382 dated the 10th March 1937 in regard to the above, I am directed to request you to send for reference a copy of the decree passed in O.S. No. 68 of 1927-28 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Mysore referred to in your letter.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,



 General Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue..... 27.5.37

Signed by Mr. BKR

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

Section Law
File No. 187-36
Serial No.

No. P6641

To

Mr. M. R. Shankarappa. M.A., B.L.

Pleader

Lawsdown Bazar

Mysore

Notice of Suit on behalf of
Sir, Mr. P. Narayana Rao.

With reference to your
letter no 382, dated 10-3-37
in regard to the above, I
am directed to request you
to send for reference a
copy of the decree passed in
O.S. No. 68/27-28 on the file
of the Sub-ordinate Judge, Mysore
referred to in your letter

I have etc.

BKR

For Genl. Secy.

GENERAL SECRETARY
1- JUNE 1937

6792/36
P.V.
Lansdowne Bazar,
Mysore, 29-5-1937.
21

298.

P.M.
6/6/37
1.6

To

The General Secretary,,

Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.P.6641 dated 27-5-1937
I enclose herewith the Decree Copy in O.S.68/27-28
on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Mysore and the
Rajinama copy filed in Ex.No.117/29-30 on the file
of the above Court in execution of the above said
decree which gives my client his share of Tharadale
Vritti in Madavanakodi Hlone.

The copies are duly stamped.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. R. Shankarappa
Pleader.

SUBJECT

Section Law

File No. 187-36

Serial No. 4

No. P. 475 / Records
Endorsement

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

M^r M. R. Shankarappa M.A., B.L.

P leader

Lansdanne Bagar

Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193

Date of issue..... 26-7-37

Signed by Mr. P.H.R.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

With reference to his notice no. 382, dated 10-3-'37 alleging that a surplus sum of Rs 442-10-8 is due to his client M^r P. Nagaraja Rao from Madavanakodi and Rs 42-6-4 from Tharadale Village, M^r M. R. Shankarappa is hereby informed that Tharadale Village is not under Govt management, and that M^r P. Nagaraja Rao may receive his $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the surplus amount of Madavanakodi Village.

for 35-36, from the Deputy Commr,
Mysore, on "Executing an indemnity
bond."

Copy of the decree in O.S.

No. 68/27-28 on the file of the
and Rajinara Copy filed in Ex No 117/20
sub-ordinate judges, Mysore, sent with

your letter no. 298, dated $\frac{29}{31}$ 5-37
are
herewith returned.

MR

For Genl Secy,

no. P476/Records of 26-7-37

Copy to the Rev. Commr with
the Records received with his letter

no. C. 3990/36-37, dt. 16-4-37

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. Ft. P475 Luv 187-36-4
Senc

18
Dated, Bangalore, 22nd July 1937.

26.

ENDORSEMENT.

Granted to Mr. M.R. Shankarappa, M.A., B.L., Pleader, Lansdc
Bazaar, Mysore.

With reference to his notice No. 382, dated the 10th March 1937 alleging that a surplus sum of Rs. 442-10-8 is due to his client Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao from Madavanakodi and Rs. 42-6-4 from Tharadale village, Mr. M.R. Shankarappa is hereby informed that Tharadale Village is not under Government management and that Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao may receive his one-third share of the surplus amount of Madavanakodi village for 1935-36, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore on executing an indemnity bond.

Copy of the decree in Original Suit No. 68 of 1927-28 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Mysore and Rajinama copy filed in Execution Case No. 117 of 1929-30 sent with your letter No. 298, dated the 29th/31st May 1937 are herewith returned.

M. Venkatesa Iyengar,
al Secretary to Government.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore with the records received with his letter No. C. 3990 of 1936-37 dated the 16th April 1937.

DKR
General Secretary to Government.